

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/033,274	PHILBROOK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Simon J. Oh	1618	

All Participants:

(1) Simon J. Oh.

(2) Isabelle Blundell.

Status of Application: under non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18-26

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative agreed to the terms of a proposed examiner's amendment where Claims 21 and 23 would be cancelled and their limitations would be incorporated into independent Claim 18. The non-elected claims would also be cancelled.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)